

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/801,411	03/08/2001	Darrell Lee Ash	RFMI01-00213	2885	
75	90 06/09/2003				
William J. Munck, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Novakov Davis & Munck, P.C. 900 Three Galleria Tower			KINKEAD, A	KINKEAD, ARNOLD M	
13155 Noel Road Dallas, TX 75240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dullao, 111 701		•	2817		
	•	•	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			De
7	Application No.	Applicant(s)	v
Advisory Action	09/801,411	ASH, DARRELL LEE	<u>.</u>
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M Kinkead	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months</u> from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the contraction.	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. \$ R 1.136(a) and the appro	n. See MPEP priate extension
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mai		
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	. .
3. △ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s). The 112–2 nd para_issue	has been withdrawn	
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT	place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 		to issues which were	newly
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		от орронова	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 4 and 11.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-10 and 12-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examir	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		alles	/
		Arnold M Kinkead Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2817	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regardless of whether Northam has depicted the stray capacitance incorrectly, there is suggestion for tuning out such parasitics by way of using inductors to match the resonant frequency of the resonator and allow for the low phase noise oscillator output as noted in the rejection(102, and 103). Please note in Driscoll, Col. 3, lines18-23, for SAWR's tuning out parasitic capacitance that cause mistuning is difficult, and the Northam reference does suggests matching by using inducors and thus is related to solving the parasitic problem.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's representative is urged to call examiner if there are any questions..

ARNOLD KINKEAD PRIMARY EXAMINER